2:00-mc-00005-DPH Doc # 1387-7 Filed 03/07/18 Pg 1 of 4 Pg ID 22840

## **Exhibit** G



Mrs. Allen Bearicks,

I received your letter dated March 13, 2107 in relation to 2783411 from her via facsimile.

It is inappropriate and unreasonable for you to be involved in the dispute of the fee and the expenses. There is a special agreement between our law office and her plus there were extraordinary works which has been done for her over the years. If you want to see it and to be explained by me, I can meet you anytime in your convenience. Also, if you advise her to contact me rather than contacting you, I will appreciate to you.

SID

Your excessive considerations over the Korean Claimants and me are always welcomed. However, I want you to make double thoughts over the practices that many American lawyers charged sixty(60) percents of the checks that the SF-DCT mailed to them. I heard it on many occasions either when I participated in the hearings in the Court over the years(The judge did not address it even though she had chances in the Court) or when I met lawyers handling the claims before the SF-DCT personally. I am sure that they have special arrangements with their clients too.

Besides, the SF-DCT did not respect the mediation results entered into with the Claims Administrator (David Austern and Ann Phillips) that you have been working for as an employee. I do not think that you are in the appropriate position to advise my clients relating to the fees and the expenses under the circumstances that the SF-DCT breached the agreement of the mediation.

Sincerely,

Yeon Ho Kim

Dated: March 15, 2017

Co: Enclosure(your letter to

2017- 3 -15 12:00-MC-00	0005-DPH Doc # 1387-7 Filed 03/07/18 Pg 3 of 4 Pg ID 22844
SK telink MobileFax	and the second se
in the second	A State of the second se
· Nie	
-	A AND A REAL
A	
3	S_FD_CT
÷.	Tabylere Connel Plats Table Tabylere Tabylere
and the state	5
	Narch 13, 2017
4	MM YEUN HU INTL LAW OFFICES
	STE 4109 KORILA WORD TRADE CTR DLAG
2	SLOUL REPUBLIC OF KOREA
1	CLAMANT:
	SID: 2753411
¥	Contraction Contraction Enricht Aprential
] —	RE: Fees Charged in Excess of the Dow Corning Sattlement Facility Agreement and the Claims Resolution Procedures
	Dear Courtani;
	The Settlemant Facety - Dow Coming Trust (SF-DCT) has been contacted by the obove-referenced
	Claimant regarding fees disducted from her Class award payment. The SF-DCT sont a \$6,000 Claim
	inward to you on Encention 16, 2016 as first priority payment of the claimant's Disease Claim.
	I am obligated to widness the olument's concerns regarding the 38% fee (fee 33% + legal procedure costs 5%) deduction from the Clarm award, By agreeing to perticipate in the SI:TTLEMENT OPTION, the
	Comment is considered a setting Breast implant claiment, and in such, is subject to the terms and constitions of the Settiment Facility Agreement and the Claims Resolution Procedures. The following are
	the Plan of Heorganization requerements for payment of face for privately retained Counsel.
	ARTICLE DK - ATTORNEYS' FEES \$9.01 Privately-Retained Courisol. Fees and expenses of attorneys individually retained by Claimants
	who do not timely elect litigation will be burne by such persons based on applicable state law and the
1	ordividual arrangements mode between them and their attorneys, but subject to the limitations indicated below.
1	(a) The fees charged by individually relained altomays to a Claimant who elects to participalia in the
1	Dow Corning Solitement Program shall not accored the sum of: (i) 10 percent of the first \$10,000 paid to each Claimant;
1	<ul> <li>(8) 22 5 percent of the next \$40,000 paid to such Claimant, and</li> <li>(8) 30 percent of the amount in excess at \$50,000 paid to such Claimant,</li> </ul>
1	(b) Amounts and to or on behalf of Claiments as Evolution Revenues Claiments - Evolution - Evolution
	Payment Option bereats shall not be counted as amounts paid to a Clement for purposes of calculating the above limitshore.





